

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vriginia 22313-1450 www.mpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/754,377 01/05/2001		Katsuhiko Sumita	2224-0181P	3526		
2292 7	590 09/03/2003					
BIRCH STEV	WART KOLASCH &	EXAMINER				
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		SHEWAREGED, BETELHEM			
•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1774 DATE MAILED: 09/03/2003	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						/ b
		A	pplication N .		Applicant(s)	
			09/754,377		SUMITA ET AL.	<i>V</i>
À	Office Action Summary	E	xamin r		Art Unit	
			etelhem Shewareged		1774	
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commu r Reply	nication appea	rs on the c ver sheet	with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (period for reply is specified above, the maximum is to reply within the set or extended period for repleply received by the Office later than three months of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. 30) days, a reply wit tatutory period will a y will, by statute, cau	a). In no event, however, may thin the statutory minimum of a apply and will expire SIX (6) M use the application to become	a reply be time thirty (30) days IONTHS from to ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered time he mailing date of this of	ly. ommunication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>16 Jun</u>	<u>e 2003</u> .			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b) This a	action is non-final.			
3) <u></u> Dispositio	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the praction of Claims					ne merits is
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6,7,9,13,14,16 and</u>	<u>17</u> is/are pend	ing in the application			
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	are withdrawn	from consideration.			
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,13,14,16 and 17</u> is/are re	ejected.				
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>3,4,6,7 and 9</u> is/are object	ed to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or el	lection requirement.			
Application	on Papers					
9)[] 7	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.				
10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a)☐ accepted	d or b) objected to b	y the Exar	niner.	
_	Applicant may not request that any ob-	-	• • •	•		
11)∐ Т	he proposed drawing correction file			] disappro	ved by the Examir	ier.
	If approved, corrected drawings are re					
,—	he oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Exam	niner.			
_	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a clair	n for foreign pi	riority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).	
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority					
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents h	ave been received in	Application	on No	
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies application from the Inter ee the attached detailed Office action</li> </ol>	national Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	)).		Stage
	cknowledgment is made of a claim		•			I application).
•	☐ The translation of the foreign la	·	•			, ,
-	cknowledgment is made of a claim		* *			
Attachment	(s)					
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)				(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/754,377

Art Unit: 1774

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's response filed on 06/16/2003 has been fully considered. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 13, 14, 16 and 17 are pending. Previous prior art rejection has been withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments and comments.

#### Election/Restrictions

- 2. Applicant's election of Species A in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 3. Since Species A is allowable (see Reasons for Allowance below), the Examiner examined Species B.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 5. Claims 1, 13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Luckenbach (US 4,803,256).

Application/Control Number: 09/754,377 Page 3

Art Unit: 1774

Luckenbach discloses a method of treating a polyester textile in order to enhance the printability (col. 4, line 33) of the polyester by contacting the polyester with an active substance such as aromatic dicarboxylic acid (claims 4 and 13). The textile is woven (claim 9). With respect to solubility value of the organic acid it is elementary that the mere recitation of newly discovered function or property, inherently possessed by things in the prior art, does not cause a claim drawn to those things to distinguish over the prior art. *In re swinehart et al.*, 169 USPQ 226 at 229. Since the Luckenbach reference teaches all of Applicant's claimed compositional and positional limitations, it is inherent that the reference article function in the same manner claimed by Applicant. The burden is upon Applicant to prove that the subject matter shown to be in the prior art does not possess the characteristic relied on.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 14 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luckenbach (US 4,803,256), as applied to claims 1, 13 and 16, above.

Luckenbach does not disclose the claimed amount of the organic acid such as aromatic dicarboxylic acid. The experimental modification of this prior art in order to ascertain optimum operating conditions fails to render applicants' claims patentable in

Art Unit: 1774

the absence of unexpected results. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to adjust the amount of the organic acid in order to optimize the printability of the surface of the textile. A prima facie case of obviousness may be rebutted, however, where the results of the optimizing variable, which is known to be result-effective, are unexpectedly good. *In re Boesch and Slaney*, 205 USPQ 215.

## Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 3, 4, 6, 7 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The closest art Hamada et al. (US 6,177,181 B1) neither teaches nor suggests its porous membrane has an organic acid with certain solubility as the claimed invention.

### Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Betelhem Shewareged whose telephone number is 703-305-0389. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur. 7:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia H Kelly can be reached on 703-308-0449. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-5408 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/754,377

Árt Unit: 1774

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Page 5

Betelhem Shewareged

August 21, 2003.